UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/778,030	02/17/2004	Hiroshi Hamasaki	249025US2SRD	6187
22850 7590 10/11/2007 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER	
			DINH, TUAN T	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2841	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		,	10/11/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

ì		~ <i>\</i> J				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/778,030	HAMASAKI, HIROSHI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tuan T. Dinh	2841				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N.  nely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 Ju	uly 2007.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•	ı				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15-21 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3,5,7,8 and 11 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 4,6,9,10 and 12 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	S.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05/11/07.	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-8, 11, 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Byer et al. ('407) in view of Nagesh et al. (U.S. Patent 5,585,671).

Regarding claims 1-3, Byers discloses an LSI package as shown in figures 1-4 comprising an LSI chip (10) mounted on an interposer (102), an interface module (111), and some other claimed elements (see the last Office action mailed on 07/21/06 from Examiner John Vigushin), Byers does not specific disclose a package structure (a heat sink) configured to hold the signal lines and the second coupling parts, the structure being mounted on the interposer and having space.

Nagesh shows a package in figure 1 comprising a package structure or a heat sink configured to hold a signal line of signal lines and mounted on an interposer (14) having a space.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a heat sink structure as taught by Nagesh employed in the LSI package of Byers in order to provide a cooling system and heat dissipation for the package.

Regarding claim 5, Byers discloses one of the first and second parts including a coupling pin \*314), and the other one of the first and second parts including insertion structure (316).

Regarding claim 7, Byers disclose the interface module and the interposer (111, 102) including a guide pin (314) and a guide hole (316).

Regarding claim 8, Byers discloses the interface module (111) further including third terminals.

Regarding claims 11, 15, Byers discloses the interposer having front and back surfaces, and the LSI (10 formed on the front surface, the first coupling parts (316) being arranged along two sides of the LSI.

Regarding claim 16, Byers as modified by Nagesh, that discloses a heat sink formed on and fixed on the surface of the interface module.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a heat sink as taught by Nagesh employed in the LSI package of Byers in order to provide heat dissipation for the package.

Regarding claims 17-18, Byers discloses the first coupling parts provided on the front surface of the interposer, see figure 4.

Regarding claim 19, Byers discloses in figure 4 that the first and second coupling parts being electrical connected by means of mechanical contact, see figure 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/778,030 Page 4

Art Unit: 2841

#### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 4, 6, 9-10, and 12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 20-21 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: neither the references cited nor the cited references disclose or render obvious in combination of the package having an interface module including optical waveguides which transmit output optical signals to outside and to receive input optical signals from outside, an optical element configured to convert the input optical signals from the optical waveguides to the electric signals, convert the electric signals to the output optical signals and guide the output optical signals to the optical waveguide, and interface integrated circuits configured to drive the optical elements, and second coupling parts electrically connected to the optical element, the second coupling parts being electrically connected to the first coupling parts by means of mechanical contact, respectively.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Application/Control Number: 10/778,030 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

## Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 07/12/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues:

Neither Byers nor Nagesh teach the package having a space for receiving the LSI to allow the heat dissipation member to be located above the surface of the LSI.

Examiner disagrees because as shown in figure 3 of Byers that discloses the package (111) mounted on the interposer (102) and there are a gap or space between the package and the interposer and the gap or space between the package and the LSI (10). Nagesh shows an IC chip (12) mounted on a ceramic substrate (14) as an interposer, the heat sink package that including heatsink and element (18 and 20) mounted on a surface of the IC chip (12), and also, there are a gap or space between the package and the interposer (14) and the gap or space between the package and the IC chip (12), see figure 1. Therefore, the combination of Byers and Nagesh is proper. Further the phrase "for receiving the LSI..." that would described the functional limitations and not a positive claim.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 10/778,030

Art Unit: 2841

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T. Dinh whose telephone number is 571-272-1929. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Reichard Dean can be reached on 571-272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuan Dinh

September 27, 2007.

TUAN T. DINH

9/27/07